

Gorenstein projective objects in homotopy categories

Lu Bo^{a,*}, Liu Zhongkui^b

^aCollege of Mathematics and Computer Science, Northwest University for Nationalities
Lanzhou 730124, Gansu, China

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University
Lanzhou 730070, Gansu, China

Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with Gorenstein projective objects in homotopy categories. Specifically, we present a characterization on Gorenstein projective objects in the category of complexes. Using this result, it is proved that the category of Gorenstein projective objects is a compactly generated triangulated category and an equivalence of triangulated categories is also given over some reasonably nice rings.

Key Words: Gorenstein projective objects; Strongly Cartan-Eilenberg Gorenstein projective complex; Gorenstein projective module; Compactly generated triangulated category.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E05; 18G05; 18E30; 18E10.

1. Introduction

Triangulated categories were introduced by Grothendieck and Verdier in the early sixties as the proper framework for doing homological algebra in an abelian category.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: lubo55@126.com (Lu B.), liuzk@nwnu.edu.cn (Liu Z.K.).

This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11501451), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (No.31920150038) and XBMUYJRC (No.201406).

Since then triangulated categories have found important applications in algebraic geometry, stable homotopy theory and homological algebra.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangulated category with triangulation Δ . Beligiannis [4] developed a homological algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ which parallels the homological algebra in an exact category in the sense of Quillen. He did this by specifying a class of triangles $\xi \subseteq \Delta$ which is closed under translations and satisfies the analogous formal properties of a proper class of short exact sequences. Such a class of triangles is called a proper class of triangles. By fixing a proper class of triangles ξ , he defined projective and injective objects in triangulated categories.

In [1], Asadollahi and Salarian introduced and studied Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective objects in triangulated categories. They are defined by modifying what Enochs and Jenda have done in abelian categories [11]. These objects are called ξ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective objects and ξ - $\mathcal{G}$ injective objects, respectively.

A triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$ is said to have *enough ξ -projectives* if for any object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a triangle $K \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Sigma K$ in ξ with P ξ -projective. Dually one defines when $\mathcal{C}$ has *enough ξ -injectives*. In general it is not so easy to find a proper class ξ of triangles in a triangulated category having enough ξ -projectives or ξ -injectives.

Let R be a ring, $\mathcal{K}(R)$ be the homotopy category of complexes of R -modules. Then $\mathcal{K}(R)$ is a triangulated category and so-called C-E projective complexes (or homotopically projective complexes) form the relative projective objects for a proper class of triangles in $\mathcal{K}(R)$, see [4, Section 12.4 and Section 12.5]. Motivated by the above, in the present article, we introduce and study the concept of Gorenstein projective objects using C-E projective complexes, and consider the relationship between Gorenstein projective objects and ξ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective objects in [1]. Specifically, we get the following result as our main result in this note (cf. Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.12).

Theorem 1.1 *An object X in $\mathcal{K}(R)$ is Gorenstein projective if and only if X is homotopy equivalent to G , where G_n is projective, $Z_n(G)$, $B_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Theorem 1.2 *Let G be an object in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. If G is Gorenstein projective object in Definition 3.2, then G is $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.*

Compactly generatedness of triangulated categories is very important and useful. For instance, they allow the use of the Brown Representability Theorem and the Thomason Localization Theorem, both proved by Neeman in [25]. There are also many compactly generated triangulated categories. For example:

The stable module category $\underline{\text{Mod}}(kG)$ of the group algebra of a finite group over

a field k ; the compact objects are the objects induced by the finitely generated kG -modules, see [5].

The unbounded derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves over a quasi-compact separated scheme; the compact objects are the complexes of the thick subcategory generated by the suspensions of powers of an ample line bundle, see [25].

The stable homotopy category of spectra; the compact objects are the finite CW-complexes, see [24].

The derived category $\mathcal{D}(R)$ of the abelian category $R\text{-Mod}$ is always compactly generated by the set $\mathcal{G} = \{S^n(R) | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, see [5].

However, surprisingly, the corresponding homotopy category $\mathcal{K}(R)$ is not even compactly generated when $R = \mathbb{Z}$, see [25, Lemma E.3.2]. Jørgensen proved in [19] that the homotopy category of complexes of projective R -modules is compactly generated when the ring R satisfies some hypotheses. Neeman improved this result and showed that the homotopy category of complexes of projective R -modules is compactly generated when the ring R is right coherent [27, Proposition 7.14]. In [21] it is shown that the homotopy category of complexes of injective R -modules is compactly generated when R is left noetherian, and this result is applied to give a new and interesting characterization of Gorenstein rings in terms of (totally) acyclic complexes of injective modules in [18, Corollary 5.5].

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we show that the category of all Gorenstein projective objects in $\mathcal{K}(R)$ is compactly generated over some reasonably nice rings, see Proposition 4.2. We also establish an equivalence of triangulated categories using Theorem 1.1, see Corollary 4.4.

To this end, we introduce and investigate the notion of strongly Cartan-Eilenberg Gorenstein projective complexes, see Definition 3.5.

It is an important question to establish relationships between a complex X and the modules $X_n, Z_n(X), n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is well known that a complex C is projective (respectively injective) if and only if C is exact and $Z_n(C)$ is projective (respectively injective) in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In [10], Enochs and Garc a Rozas introduced and investigated the notion of Gorenstein projective and injective complexes. It was shown that a complex C is Gorenstein projective (respectively Gorenstein injective) if and only if C_m is Gorenstein projective (respectively Gorenstein injective) in $R\text{-Mod}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ over n -Gorenstein rings. Liu and Zhang proved that Gorenstein injective version of this result holds over left Noetherian rings [22]. This has been further developed by Yang and Liu over any associated ring [30]. The following result is obtained in section 5 of this paper (cf. Proposition 3.8).

Theorem 1.3 *Let G be a complex. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is strongly Cartan-Eilenberg Gorenstein projective.
- (2) G_n is projective, $Z_n(G)$, $B_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ are Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We will use Foxby and Avramov's terminology [2] and a complex C is *DG-projective* (also see [12, p. 33]) if C_n is projective and $\text{Hom}_R(C, E)$ is exact for all exact complexes E . They are also termed semiprojective complexes. We get the following observation for strongly Cartan-Eilenberg Gorenstein projective complexes (cf. Proposition 5.5).

Proposition 1.4 *Let G be an exact complex. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is strongly Cartan-Eilenberg Gorenstein projective.
- (2) G_n is projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Hom}_R(G, P)$ is exact for every Cartan-Eilenberg projective complex P .

Note that projective complexes are strongly Cartan-Eilenberg Gorenstein projective complexes which are $\sharp$ -projective by the definition of projective complexes and Theorem 1.3. However, the converse is not true in general, see Example 5.9 and 5.10. Recall that a complex C is $\sharp$ -projective if C_n is projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ [2].

As we know, an object $Q \in \mathcal{C}(R)$ is projective if and only if Q is exact and $Z_n(Q)$ is projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathcal{C}(R)$ denotes the category of complexes of left R -modules. In [27], Neeman showed that the homotopy category of complexes of projective R -modules $\mathcal{K}(R\text{-Proj})$ is compactly generated when the ring R is right coherent. As an application of Theorem 1.4, we obtain a Gorenstein version of the above result in the corresponding category of complexes $\mathcal{C}(R\text{-Proj})$:

Corollary 1.5 *Let G be in $\mathcal{C}(R\text{-Proj})$. Then G is exact and $Z_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(P, G)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(G, P)$ are exact for every Cartan-Eilenberg projective complex P .*

Note that the above result can be considered as a new characterization of $\mathcal{A}$ complexes (see [13, Definition 3.3]) in $\mathcal{C}(R\text{-Proj})$ when $\mathcal{A}$ is the class of Gorenstein projective modules.

For the rest of the paper we will use the abbreviation C-E for Cartan-Eilenberg.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, R denotes a ring with unity. A complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_{-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{-1}} \cdots$$

of left R -modules will be denoted by (C, δ) or C . For such a complex C , we write ΣC for its suspension: $(\Sigma C)_n = C_{n-1}$ and $\delta^{\Sigma C} = -\delta^C$. For a ring R , $R\text{-Mod}$ denotes the category of left R -modules.

We will use superscripts to distinguish complexes. So if $\{C^i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of complexes, C^i will be

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_2} C_1^i \xrightarrow{\delta_1} C_0^i \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_{-1}^i \xrightarrow{\delta_{-1}} \cdots$$

Given a left R -module M , we use the notation $D^m(M)$ to denote the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{id} M \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with M in the m th and $(m-1)$ th positions. We also use the notation $S^m(M)$ to denote the complex with M in the m th place and 0 in the other places.

Given a complex C and an integer l , the l th homology module of C is the module $H_l(C) = Z_l(C)/B_l(C)$ where $Z_l(C) = \text{Ker}(\delta_l^C)$ and $B_l(C) = \text{Im}(\delta_{l+1}^C)$.

Let C and D be complexes of left R -modules. We will denote by $\text{Hom}_R(C, D)$ the complex of abelian groups with $\text{Hom}_R(C, D)_n = \prod_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(C_t, D_{n+t})$ and such that if $f \in \text{Hom}_R(C, D)_n$ then $(\delta_n(f))_m = \delta_{m+n}^D f_m - (-1)^n f_{m+1} \delta_m^C$. f is called a *chain map* of degree n if $\delta_n(f) = 0$. A chain map of degree 0 is called a *morphism*. We will use $\text{Hom}(C, D)$ to denote the abelian group of morphisms from C to D and Ext^i for $i \geq 0$ will denote the groups we get from the right derived functor of Hom .

General background about complexes of R -modules can be found in [12].

Let X and Y be in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. We use $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(X, Y)$ to denote the abelian group of morphisms from X to Y .

We recall some notions and results needed in the paper.

A complex P is *projective* if the functor $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ is exact.

Definition 2.1 ([11, Definition 3.1]) *A left R -module M is called Gorenstein projective if there exists an exact sequence of projective left R -modules*

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{-1} \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $M \cong \text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow P_1)$ and which remains exact after applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, P)$ for any projective left R -module P .

Definition 2.2 ([29, p. 227]) *A complex P is said to be C-E projective if $P, Z(P), B(P)$ and $H(P)$ are complexes consisting of projective modules. Dually, one defines the concept of C-E injective complexes.*

Note that these complexes have origin in [7] to give the definitions of projective and injective resolutions of a complex of modules.

Definition 2.3 ([9, Definition 5.3]) *A sequence of complexes*

$$\cdots \rightarrow C^{-1} \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

is said to be C-E exact if

- (1) $\cdots \rightarrow C^{-1} \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \cdots$,
- (2) $\cdots \rightarrow Z(C^{-1}) \rightarrow Z(C^0) \rightarrow Z(C^1) \rightarrow \cdots$,
- (3) $\cdots \rightarrow B(C^{-1}) \rightarrow B(C^0) \rightarrow B(C^1) \rightarrow \cdots$,
- (4) $\cdots \rightarrow C^{-1}/Z(C^{-1}) \rightarrow C^0/Z(C^0) \rightarrow C^1/Z(C^1) \rightarrow \cdots$,
- (5) $\cdots \rightarrow C^{-1}/B(C^{-1}) \rightarrow C^0/B(C^0) \rightarrow C^1/B(C^1) \rightarrow \cdots$,
- (6) $\cdots \rightarrow H(C^{-1}) \rightarrow H(C^0) \rightarrow H(C^1) \rightarrow \cdots$

are all exact.

In the following, we recall some definitions and results of triangulated categories used in this paper. The basic references for triangulated and derived categories are the original article of Verdier [29] and Hartshorne's notes [14]. Also Bernstein, Beilinson and Deligne [3] and Iversen [17] give introductions to these concepts. For terminology we shall follow [4].

Throughout this section we fix a triangulated category $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, T, \Delta)$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is an additive category, T is the suspension functor, i.e., an autoequivalence of $\mathcal{C}$, and Δ is the triangulation.

A triangle $(T) : A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} TA$ is called split if it is isomorphic to the triangle

$$A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{\pi} C \xrightarrow{0} TA,$$

where $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to see that the above triangle is split if and only if f has a retraction or g has a section or $h = 0$. The full subcategory of Δ consisting of the split triangles will be denoted by Δ_0 .

Definition 2.4 ([4, Definition 4.1]) *A full subcategory $\xi \subseteq \text{Diag}(\mathcal{C}, T)$ is called a proper class of triangles if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) ξ is closed under isomorphisms, finite coproducts and $\Delta_0 \subseteq \xi \subseteq \Delta$.
- (2) ξ is closed under suspensions and is saturated.
- (3) ξ is closed under base and cobase change.

The definitions of base change, cobase change and saturated can be seen in [4, 2.1 and 2.2].

Definition 2.5 ([4, Definition 4.1]) *An object $P \in \mathcal{C}$ (respectively $I \in \mathcal{C}$) is called ξ -projective (respectively ξ -injective) if for any triangle $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow TA$ in ξ , the induced sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(P, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(P, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(P, C) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(respectively\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C, I) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B, I) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, I) \rightarrow 0)$$

is exact in the category $\mathcal{A}b$ of abelian groups.

In what follows, $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ (respectively $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$) will denote the full subcategory of ξ -projective (respectively ξ -injective) objects of $\mathcal{C}$. It follows easily from the definition that the categories $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$ are full, additive, closed under isomorphisms, direct summands and T -stable.

Definition 2.6 ([1, Definition 3.1]) *An ξ -exact complex X is a diagram*

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\mathcal{C}$, such that for integer n , there exist triangles

$$K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{g_n} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} K_n \xrightarrow{h_n} TK_{n+1}$$

in ξ and a differential is defined as $d_n = g_{n-1}f_n$ for any n .

Definition 2.7 ([1, Definition 3.2]) *A triangle $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow TA$ in ξ , is called $\mathcal{C}(-, \mathcal{P}(\xi))$ exact, if for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$, the induced complex*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C, Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B, Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, Q) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\mathcal{A}b$.

Definition 2.8 ([1, Definition 3.3]) *A complete ξ -exact complex X is a diagram*

$$X : \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\mathcal{C}$ such that for all integers n , there exist $\mathcal{C}(-, \mathcal{P}(\xi))$ exact triangles

$$K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{g_n} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} K_n \xrightarrow{h_n} TK_{n+1}$$

in ξ where a differential d_n , for any integer n , is defined as $d_n = g_{n-1}f_n$.

Definition 2.9 ([1, Definition 3.4]) *A complete ξ -projective resolution is a complete ξ -exact complex*

$$P : \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

in $\mathcal{C}$ such that P_n , for any integer n , is ξ -projective.

Definition 2.10 ([1, Definition 3.6]) *Let P be a complete ξ -projective resolution in $\mathcal{C}$. So for any integer n , there exists a triangle*

$$K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{g_n} P_n \xrightarrow{f_n} K_n \xrightarrow{h_n} TK_{n+1}$$

in ξ . The objects K_n for any integer n , are called ξ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective.

We denote by $\mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ the full subcategory of ξ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective objects of $\mathcal{C}$. It follows directly from the definition that the category $\mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ is full, additive and closed under isomorphisms. Every ξ -projective object is ξ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective. In particular, there is an inclusion of categories $\mathcal{P}(\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$.

3. Gorenstein projective objects in homotopy categories

In this section, we will introduce and study Gorenstein projective objects in homotopy categories. Specifically, we will consider the relationships between Gorenstein projective objects in $\mathcal{K}(R)$ and the corresponding objects in $\mathcal{C}(R)$.

Recall that an exact sequence of complexes $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is said to be *degreewise split* if $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow 0$ is split in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 3.1 *A sequence of complexes*

$$\cdots \rightarrow C^{-1} \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

is said to be strongly C-E exact if

- (1) $\cdots \rightarrow C^{-1} \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ *is C-E exact; and*
- (2) $\cdots \rightarrow C^{-1} \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ *is degreewise split.*

Definition 3.2 *An object X in $\mathcal{K}(R)$ is said to be Gorenstein projective if there exists a strongly C-E exact sequence of C-E projective complexes in $\mathcal{C}(R)$*

$$\mathbb{P} : \cdots \rightarrow P^{-2} \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^2 \rightarrow \cdots$$

such that $X \cong \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ in $\mathcal{K}(R)$ and which remains exact in $\mathcal{C}(R)$ after applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(-, Q)$ for any C-E projective complex Q .

In the following, we will use $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{GP})$ to denote the class of Gorenstein projective objects in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.

The next theorem will give a characterization of Gorenstein projective objects.

Theorem 3.3 *An object X in $\mathcal{K}(R)$ is Gorenstein projective if and only if X is homotopy equivalent to G , where G_n is projective, $Z_n(G)$, $B_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.3

We will divide the proof of Theorem 3.3 into three steps.

Step 1. We give the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{g} M \xrightarrow{f} L \rightarrow 0$ be a sequence of complexes, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ is C-E exact if any two sequences of the following sequences are exact:*

- (1) $0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow 0$.
- (2) $0 \rightarrow Z_n(K) \rightarrow Z_n(M) \rightarrow Z_n(L) \rightarrow 0$.
- (3) $0 \rightarrow B_n(K) \rightarrow B_n(M) \rightarrow B_n(L) \rightarrow 0$ or $0 \rightarrow K_n/Z_n(K) \rightarrow M_n/Z_n(M) \rightarrow L_n/Z_n(L) \rightarrow 0$.

$$(4) \ 0 \rightarrow K_n/B_n(K) \rightarrow M_n/B_n(M) \rightarrow L_n/B_n(L) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$(5) \ 0 \rightarrow H_n(K) \rightarrow H_n(M) \rightarrow H_n(L) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof If (1)(2) or (1)(3) or (1)(4) or (2)(3) or (2)(5) or (3)(4) or (3)(5) or (4)(5) hold, the result follows easily.

Suppose that $0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow M_n \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow H_n(K) \rightarrow H_n(M) \rightarrow H_n(L) \rightarrow 0$ are exact. Let $x \in B_n(L)$. Since we have an exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & L_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \delta_{n+1}^M \downarrow & & \delta_{n+1}^L \downarrow & & \\ M_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & L_n & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

there exists $z \in L_{n+1}$ such that $\delta_{n+1}^L(z) = x$. From f_{n+1} is epimorphic, there exists $m \in M_{n+1}$ such that $f_{n+1}(m) = z$. Thus $\delta_{n+1}^M(m) \in B_n(M)$ and $f_n(\delta_{n+1}^M(m)) = \delta_{n+1}^L f_{n+1}(m) = \delta_{n+1}^L(z) = x$, i.e., $f_n|_{B_n(M)}: B_n(M) \rightarrow B_n(L)$ is epimorphic. On the other hand, using the exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B_n(M) & \longrightarrow & Z_n(M) & \longrightarrow & H_n(M) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & f_n \downarrow & & f_n \downarrow & & \overline{f_n} \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B_n(L) & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & Z_n(L) & \xrightarrow{f_n} & H_n(L) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

we get $Z_n(M) \rightarrow Z_n(L)$ is an epimorphism. Hence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ is C-E exact by [9 Lemma 5.2].

Let $0 \rightarrow Z_n(K) \rightarrow Z_n(M) \rightarrow Z_n(L) \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow K_n/B_n(K) \rightarrow M_n/B_n(M) \rightarrow L_n/B_n(L) \rightarrow 0$ are exact. Then we have an exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n/B_n(K) & \longrightarrow & M_n/B_n(M) & \longrightarrow & L_n/B_n(L) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta_n^{K/B(K)} & & \downarrow \delta_n^{M/B(M)} & & \downarrow \delta_n^{L/B(L)} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{n-1}/B_{n-1}(K) & \longrightarrow & M_{n-1}/B_{n-1}(M) & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1}/B_{n-1}(L) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array},$$

where $\text{Ker}(\delta_n^{K/B(K)}) = H_n(K)$, $\text{Ker}(\delta_n^{M/B(M)}) = H_n(M)$, $\text{Ker}(\delta_n^{L/B(L)}) = H_n(L)$. By Snake Lemma, $0 \rightarrow H_n(K) \rightarrow H_n(M) \rightarrow H_n(L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Moreover, $0 \rightarrow Z_n(K) \rightarrow Z_n(M) \rightarrow Z_n(L) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, which implies that $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ is C-E exact. $\square$

Step 2. For convenience, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.5 A complex G is said to be strongly C-E Gorenstein projective if there is a strongly C-E exact sequence of C-E projective complexes

$$\mathbb{P} : \dots \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

such that $G \cong \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ and the functor $\text{Hom}(-, Q)$ leaves $\mathbb{P}$ exact whenever Q is C-E projective. In this case, $\mathbb{P}$ is called a strongly complete C-E projective resolution of G .

Step 3. The following result, Proposition 3.8, will be established, which is a key observation for obtaining our main result. To this end, we first present the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.6 ([9, Proposition 3.4]) *A complex P is C-E projective if and only if $P = P' \oplus P''$ where P' is a projective complex and P'' is a graded module (i.e. $\delta^{P''} = 0$) such that $P'' \in \mathcal{C}(R\text{-Proj})$, where $P'' \in \mathcal{C}(R\text{-Proj})$ denotes the category of those complexes each of whose terms is a projective module.*

Lemma 3.7 ([13, Lemma 3.1]) *For any left R -module M and any complex of left R -modules X , we have the following natural isomorphisms:*

- (1) $\text{Hom}(D^n(M), X) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, X_n)$.
- (2) $\text{Hom}(S^n(M), X) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, Z_n(X))$.
- (3) $\text{Hom}(X, D^n(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(X_{n-1}, M)$.
- (4) $\text{Hom}(X, S^n(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(X_n/B_n(X), M)$.

Proposition 3.8 *Let G be a complex. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is strongly C-E Gorenstein projective.
- (2) G_n is projective, $G_n/Z_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ are Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) G_n is projective, $Z_n(G)$, $B_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ are Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (4) G_n is projective, $Z_n(G)$, $B_n(G)$, $H_n(G)$, $G_n/B_n(G)$ and $G_n/Z_n(G)$ are Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let G has a strongly complete C-E projective resolution

$$\mathbb{P} =: \cdots \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Then the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n^{-1} \rightarrow P_n^0 \rightarrow P_n^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

is split for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which yields G_n is projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also get an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n^{-1}/Z_n(P^{-1}) \rightarrow P_n^0/Z_n(P^0) \rightarrow P_n^1/Z_n(P^1) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (\dagger_1)$$

of projective modules with $G_n/Z_n(G) = \text{Ker}(P_n^0/Z_n(P^0) \rightarrow P_n^1/Z_n(P^1))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we only need to show that $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$ leaves the sequence $(\dagger_1)$ exact when

Q is a projective module. Consider the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow B_n(\mathbb{P}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_n/B_n(\mathbb{P}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (\dagger_2)$$

where

$$B_n(\mathbb{P}) = \cdots \longrightarrow B_n(P^1) \longrightarrow B_n(P^0) \longrightarrow B_n(P^{-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

The sequence $(\dagger_2)$ is degreewise split exact. For any projective module Q , we have the following exact sequence of complexes of $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{P}_n/B_n(\mathbb{P}), Q) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{P}_n, Q) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(B_n(\mathbb{P}), Q) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Hom}(X, D^{n+1}(Q)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(X_n, Q)$ by Lemma 3.7, applying $\text{Hom}(-, D^{n+1}(Q))$ to the sequence $\mathbb{P}$ yields $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{P}_n, Q)$ is exact. Also, by applying $\text{Hom}(-, S^n(Q))$ to the sequence $\mathbb{P}$, we get that $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{P}_n/B_n(\mathbb{P}), Q)$ is exact by an argument analogous to the above. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(B_n(\mathbb{P}), Q)$ is exact. Therefore, $G_n/Z_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ since $B_{n-1}(P^i) \cong P_n^i/Z_n(P^i)$. Similarly, we can also show that $H_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since $G_n/Z_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ are Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $G_n/Z_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ have complete projective resolutions. Then G_n has a complete projective resolution since $0 \rightarrow H_n(G) \rightarrow G_n/B_n(G) \rightarrow G_n/Z_n(G) \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow B_n(G) \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_n/B_n(G) \rightarrow 0$ are exact. It follows that we can construct a strongly C-E exact sequence of complexes

$$\cdots \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots. \quad (\dagger_3)$$

By the construction, we have that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n^{-1} \rightarrow P_n^0 \rightarrow P_n^1 \rightarrow \cdots \quad (\dagger_4)$$

is exact with each P_n^i projective in $R\text{-Mod}$, $G_n = \text{Ker}(P_n^0 \rightarrow P_n^1)$ and the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n^{-1}/B_n(P^{-1}) \rightarrow P_n^0/B_n(P^0) \rightarrow P_n^1/B_n(P^1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is also exact with $P_n^i/B_n(P^i)$ projective, $G_n/B_n(G) = \text{Ker}(P_n^0/B_n(P^0) \rightarrow P_n^1/B_n(P^1))$ and $G_n/B_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective. Since G_n is projective for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the exact sequence $(\dagger_4)$ is split. Now we only show that the functor $\text{Hom}(-, P)$ leaves the strongly C-E exact sequence $(\dagger_3)$ exact when P is C-E projective. Let Q be a projective module. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_n^1/B_n(P^1), Q) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_n^0/B_n(P^0), Q) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_n^{-1}/B_n(P^{-1}), Q) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}(-, S^n(Q))$ to the strongly C-E exact sequence $(\dagger_3)$ and using Lemma 3.7 yield the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^1, S^n(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^0, S^n(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^{-1}, S^n(Q)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We also apply the functor $\text{Hom}(-, D^{n+1}(Q))$ to the strongly C-E exact sequence $(\dagger_3)$ yields the sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^1, D^n(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^0, D^n(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^{-1}, D^n(Q)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact similar to the above argument. Note that $P = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (D^i(Q_i) \oplus S^i(Q'_i))$ for any C-E projective complex P by Lemma 3.6, where Q_i and Q'_i are projective in $R\text{-Mod}$. Hence (2) follows.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) follows by [15, Theorem 2.5]. $\square$

Note that $H_n(\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(X, \Sigma^{-n}Y)$. Thus Theorem 3.3 immediately from Lemma 3.4, Definition 3.5 and Proposition 3.8. $\square$

From [4, Section 12.4 and Section 12.5], C-E projective complexes (or homotopically projective complexes) form the relative projective objects for a proper class of triangles in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. It is easy to see that C is C-E projective (or homotopically projective) in $\mathcal{K}(R)$ if and only if C is C-E projective in $\mathcal{C}(R)$ (see, Definition 2.2). In the following, we will use $\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}}$ to denote the class of C-E projective complexes (or homotopically projective complexes) in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. We will use $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$ to denote the proper class which corresponds to C-E projective complexes. Take $\xi = \xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$. Then $\xi\mathcal{G}$ -projective objects in [1] will be said by $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})\mathcal{G}$ -projective.

In order to establish a relationship between Gorenstein projective objects and $\xi\mathcal{G}$ -projective objects in [1], we first give the following results.

Lemma 3.9 *Let $\mathcal{K}(R)$ be a homotopy category of complexes. Then a triangle $D \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow TD$ is in $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$ if and only if $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}}$.*

Proof It follows from [4, Lemma 4.2]. $\square$

Lemma 3.10 *Let $\mathcal{K}(R)$ be a homotopy category of complexes. Then*

$$\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}}) = \{X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow TX \mid X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow TX \cong A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow TA \text{ in } \mathcal{K}(R)\},$$

where $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is strongly C-E exact in $\mathcal{C}(R)$.

Proof Take $\eta = \{X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow TX \mid X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow TX \cong A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow TA \text{ in } \mathcal{K}(R)\}$, where $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is strongly C-E exact in $\mathcal{C}(R)$.

Let $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow TM$ be in $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$. Then there exists a degreewise split exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ such that $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow TM$ is isomorphic to $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow P \rightarrow TR$ in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.

On the other hand,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(Q, \Sigma^{-n}R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(Q, \Sigma^{-n}S) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(Q, \Sigma^{-n}P) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any C-E projective complex Q , and so

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(\text{Hom}_R(Q, R)) \rightarrow H_n(\text{Hom}_R(Q, S)) \rightarrow H_n(\text{Hom}_R(Q, P)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Moreover, $S^0(R)$ is C-E projective, which yields that $0 \rightarrow H_n(R) \rightarrow H_n(S) \rightarrow H_n(P) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Therefore, $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}}) \subseteq \eta$.

Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a strongly C-E exact sequence of complexes. Then $0 \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow 0$ is split for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow \Sigma A$ is a triangle in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.

Now suppose that for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}}$. There is a C-E projective complex Q such that $P \cong Q$ in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. Then we get the following exact sequence of Abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q, C) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$0 \rightarrow Z_n(\text{Hom}_R(Q, A)) \rightarrow Z_n(\text{Hom}_R(Q, B)) \rightarrow Z_n(\text{Hom}_R(Q, C)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Note that the sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact since $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is degreewise split. Then $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Q, C) \rightarrow 0$ is C-E exact. We get that

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(\text{Hom}_R(Q, A)) \rightarrow H_0(\text{Hom}_R(Q, B)) \rightarrow H_0(\text{Hom}_R(Q, C)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, i.e., $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(Q, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(Q, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(Q, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Therefore,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, C) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, which concludes that $\eta \subseteq \xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$. $\square$

Corollary 3.11 *Let P be a complex. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}}$, i.e., P is homotopy equivalent to a complex having projective components and zero differential.
- (2) P is homotopy equivalent to C-E projective complex.

(3) $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for every strongly C-E exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$.

(4) $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(R)}(P, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(R)}(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(R)}(P, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for every strongly C-E exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is obviously.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let Q be a C-E projective. Then $Q = Q^1 \oplus Q^2$, where Q^1 is a projective complex, Q_i^2 is a projective module and $\delta_i^{Q^2} = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Q^1 \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{f} Q^2 \rightarrow 0$ is degreewise split. Since Q^1 is contractible, $f : Q \rightarrow Q^2$ is a homotopy equivalence by [8, Lemma 3.4.8].

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) follows from Lemma 3.10.

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) Since $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is a degreewise split exact sequence, $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Hence the result follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.12 *Let G be an object in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. If G is a Gorenstein projective object in Definition 3.2, then G is $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.*

Proof Since G is a Gorenstein projective object in $\mathcal{K}(R)$, there exists a strongly C-E Gorenstein projective complex X by Theorem 3.3. Then there is a strongly C-E exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

with P^0 C-E projective, K^1 strongly C-E Gorenstein projective in $\mathcal{C}(R)$ and $K^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Sigma K^1$ is a triangle in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. By the definition of strongly C-E Gorenstein projective complexes, we get that

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^0, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K^1, Q) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any C-E projective complex Q , which yields the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_0(\text{Hom}_R(X, Q)) \rightarrow Z_0(\text{Hom}_R(P^0, Q)) \rightarrow Z_0(\text{Hom}_R(K^1, Q)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. On the other hand, $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P^0, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K^1, Q) \rightarrow 0$ is exact since $0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ is degreewise split. Thus

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P^0, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K^1, Q) \rightarrow 0$$

is C-E exact, and so

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(\text{Hom}_R(G, Q)) \rightarrow H_0(\text{Hom}_R(P^0, Q)) \rightarrow H_0(\text{Hom}_R(K^1, Q)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, which means $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(X, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P^0, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(K^1, Q) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. We can also show that there is a triangle $X \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow \Sigma X$ in

$\mathcal{K}(R)$ and $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(L^{-1}, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(P^{-1}, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(R)}(X, Q) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for any C-E projective complex Q . Therefore, X is $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. Note that $G \cong X$ in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. Thus G is $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective in $\mathcal{K}(R)$. $\square$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.12, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.13 *Let G be an object in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.*

- (1) G is $\xi(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CE}})$ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.
- (2) G_n is projective, $G_n/Z_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ are Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) G_n is projective, $Z_n(G)$, $B_n(G)$ and $H_n(G)$ are Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (4) G_n is projective, $Z_n(G)$, $B_n(G)$, $H_n(G)$, $G_n/B_n(G)$ and $G_n/Z_n(G)$ are Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $(2) \iff (3) \iff (4) \implies (1)$.

Remark 3.14 *In [1], Asadollahi and Salarian introduce and investigate ξ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective objects in triangulated categories. From Corollary 3.13, we obtain a specific example of ξ - $\mathcal{G}$ projective objects in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.*

4. Applications

In this section, we will give some applications to our main result, Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.12 in section 3.

Definition 4.1 ([26, Definition 2.1]) *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangulated category, closed under set-indexed coproducts. An object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is compact if the natural map*

$$\coprod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, X_i) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \coprod_{i \in I} X_i)$$

is an isomorphism for any family $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ of objects in $\mathcal{C}$. A set of objects $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is called a generating set if the implication

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, X) = 0 \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{G} \implies X \cong 0$$

holds for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ has a generating set consisting of compact objects, then $\mathcal{C}$ is called compactly generated.

Recall that a ring R is 0-Gorenstein if R is left and right Noetherian and $\text{id}({}_R R) = 0$.

Proposition 4.2 *Let R be a 0-Gorenstein ring. Then the category $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{GP})$ is a compactly generated triangulated category.*

Proof Since R is a 0-Gorenstein ring, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{GP})$ is a triangulated category. Note that the category $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{GP})$ happens to be the homotopy category of complexes of projective modules $\mathcal{K}(R\text{-Proj})$ over 0-Gorenstein rings by Theorem 3.3. Using [27, Proposition 7.14], the result follows. $\square$

We collect in the following some 0-Gorenstein rings, see [28].

Remark 4.3 (1) *If G is a finite group and k is any field, then the group rings kG is 0-Gorenstein.*

(2) *If R is a PID and I is a nonzero proper ideal, then R/I is 0-Gorenstein.*

(3) *The rings $\mathbb{I}_n$ (integers mod n), where $n > 1$, and the rings $k[x]/I$, where k is a field and I nonzero ideal, are 0-Gorenstein rings.*

Dualizing complexes are popular gadgets in homological algebra. Recall that a dualizing complex for R is a complex D of R -modules with the following properties:

- (a) The cohomology of D is bounded and finitely generated over R .
- (b) The injective dimension $\text{id}_R D$ is finite.
- (c) The canonical morphism $R \rightarrow \text{RHom}_R(D, D)$ in the derived category $\text{D}(R)$ is an isomorphism.

We write $K^{+,b}(R\text{-proj})$ for the subcategory of $\mathcal{K}(R)$ consisting of complexes X of finitely generated projective modules with $H(X)$ bounded and $X_n = 0$ for $n \ll 0$, and $\text{D}^f(R)$ for its image in $\text{D}(R)$, the derived category of R -modules.

Corollary 4.4 *Let R be a noetherian ring with a dualizing complex D . Then*

- (1) *The subcategory of $\mathcal{EK}(\mathcal{GP})$ is a compactly generated triangulated category, where $\mathcal{EK}(\mathcal{GP})$ denotes the subcategory of all exact objects in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{GP})$.*
- (2) *There is an equivalence*

$$\text{D}^f(R)/\text{Thick}(R, D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{EK}^c(\mathcal{GP})^{op},$$

where $\text{Thick}(R, D)$ is the thick subcategory of $\text{D}^f(R)$ generated by R and D , $\mathcal{EK}^c(\mathcal{GP})$ is the class of compact objects in $\mathcal{EK}(\mathcal{GP})$.

Proof It follows by [18, Theorem 5.3] and Theorem 3.3. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of objects in a triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that the full subcategories

$$\mathcal{X}^\perp = \{Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T^n X, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is called the right orthogonal class to $\mathcal{X}$.

Let $\text{i}R$ be an injective resolution of R and $D^* = \text{S}(\text{i}R)$, where $\text{S} = \text{q} \circ \text{Hom}_R(D, -) : \mathcal{K}(R\text{-Inj}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(R\text{-Proj})$, q denotes the right adjoint of the inclusion $\mathcal{K}(R\text{-Proj}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(R\text{-Flat})$.

The following result gives a characterization of a special subcategory of $\mathcal{EK}^c(\mathcal{GP})$.

Corollary 4.5 *Let R be a noetherian ring with a dualizing complex D . Then*

$$\mathcal{EK}^c(\mathcal{GP}) = \{R, D^*\}^\perp.$$

Proof It follows by [18, Proposition 5.9] and Theorem 3.3. $\square$

We would like already here to point out that many rings have dualizing complexes, see [18, 20].

Remark 4.6 (1) *A noetherian local commutative ring has a dualizing complex if and only if it is a quotient of a Gorenstein noetherian local commutative ring.*

(2) *Any local ring of a scheme of locally finite type over a field has a dualizing complex.*

(3) *Any complete noetherian local commutative ring has a dualizing complex.*

(4) *Let R be a commutative noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$, and that $\text{rank}_k(\mathfrak{m}) \geq 2$. Observe that R is not Gorenstein; for instance, its socle is $\mathfrak{m}$, and hence of rank at least 2. Let E denote the injective hull of the R -module k ; this is a dualizing complex for R .*

5. Some notes on strongly Cartan-Eilenberg Gorenstein projective complexes

In this section, we will investigate further the notion of strongly C-E Gorenstein projective complexes which plays an important role in the study of Gorenstein projective objects in $\mathcal{K}(R)$.

We first establish several basic facts.

By [9, Proposition 6.3], we can compute derived functors of $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ using C-E projective resolutions or C-E injective resolutions. For given C and D we will denote these derived functors applied to (C, D) as $\overline{\text{Ext}}^n(C, D)$. It is obvious that $\overline{\text{Ext}}^1(C, D) \subseteq \text{Ext}^1(C, D)$.

The first statement can be obtained by [9, Proposition 6.3], and the second follows from the definition of strongly C-E Gorenstein projective complexes and [9, Proposition 10.1].

Lemma 5.1 (1) *A complex P is C-E projective if and only if $\overline{\text{Ext}}^i(P, D) = 0$ for any complex D and all $i \geq 1$.*

(2) *If P is a C-E projective complex and G is a strongly C-E Gorenstein projective complex, then $\overline{\text{Ext}}^n(G, P) = 0$ for each $n \geq 1$. Moreover, $\text{Ext}^1(G, P) = 0$ whenever G is exact.*

Lemma 5.2 *Let G be a complex with G_i Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(G, P)$ is exact for any C-E projective complex P if and only if*

$\text{Ext}^1(G, P) = 0$ for any C-E projective complex P .

Proof It follows from [13, Lemma 2.1]. $\square$

Lemma 5.3 ([31, Lemma 2.4]) *Let $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} P \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of modules. If M and P are projective and N is Gorenstein projective, then $\text{Coker}(\alpha)$ is Gorenstein projective for any homomorphism $f' : M \rightarrow P'$ with P' projective, where $\alpha = (f, f') : M \rightarrow P \oplus P'$ is defined by $\alpha(x) = (f(x), f'(x))$ for any $x \in M$.*

Lemma 5.4 ([23, Lemma 3.2]) *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of complexes with A exact. Then $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is C-E exact.*

Inspired by the notion of DG-projective complexes, see the introduction, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 5.5 *Let G be an exact complex. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) G is strongly C-E Gorenstein projective.
- (2) G_n is projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Hom}_R(G, P)$ is exact for every C-E projective complex P .

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). It follows from Proposition 3.8, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since G_n is projective, there exists an exact sequence of modules

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_n \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow 0$$

with X_n projective and H_n Gorenstein projective for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put

$$P^0 =: \cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1}^0 \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}^{P^0}} P_n^0 \xrightarrow{\delta_n^{P^0}} P_{n-1}^0 \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}^{P^0}} P_{n-2}^0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

with $P_n^0 = X_n \oplus X_{n-1}$ and $\delta_n^{P^0} : P_n^0 \rightarrow P_{n-1}^0$ defined via $\delta_n^{P^0}(x, y) = (y, 0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $(x, y) \in X_n \oplus X_{n-1}$. Clearly, P^0 is exact and $Z_n(P^0)$ is projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then P^0 is C-E projective. Now we have a morphism $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : G \rightarrow P^0$ of complexes as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & G_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}^G} & G_n & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^G} & G_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow (f_{n+1}, f_n \delta_{n+1}^G) & & \downarrow (f_n, f_{n-1} \delta_n^G) & & \downarrow (f_{n-1}, f_{n-2} \delta_{n-1}^G) \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_{n+1} \oplus X_n & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}^{P^0}} & X_n \oplus X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta_n^{P^0}} & X_{n-1} \oplus X_{n-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

It is clear that α is injective and so we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^0 \rightarrow L^1 \rightarrow 0, \quad (\dagger_1)$$

where $L^1 = \text{Coker}(\alpha)$ and the sequence $(\dagger_1)$ is C-E exact by Lemma 5.4. Using Lemma 5.3, L_n^1 is Gorenstein projective for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} P_n^0 \rightarrow L_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ is split. And so we get that the sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L^1, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P^0, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, P) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any C-E projective complex P . Since $\text{Ext}^1(P^0, P) = 0$ by Lemma 5.1 and [9, Proposition 10.1], then $\text{Hom}_R(P^0, P)$ is exact by Lemma 5.2. Therefore, $\text{Hom}_R(L^1, P)$ is exact since $\text{Hom}_R(G, P)$ is so, and hence $\text{Ext}^1(L^1, P) = 0$. This yields exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L^1, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^0, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, P) \rightarrow 0.$$

Continuously using the methods above, we get a strongly C-E exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow \dots$$

with each P^i C-E projective for $i \leq 0$ and which remains exact after applying $\text{Hom}(-, P)$ for any C-E projective complex P .

Let $g_i : T_i \rightarrow G_i$ be a projective precover of G_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it is clear that $T_i = G_i$ and $g_i = 1_{G_i}$. We define a morphism of complexes $\varphi : \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D^i(G_i) \rightarrow G$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & G_2 \oplus G_1 & \longrightarrow & G_1 \oplus G_0 & \longrightarrow & G_0 \oplus G_{-1} \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow (\delta_2^G, 1) & & \downarrow (\delta_1^G, 1) & & \downarrow (\delta_0^G, 1) \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\delta_2^G} & G_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_1^G} & G_0 & \longrightarrow & G_{-1} \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

It is clear that φ is surjective. Put $P^1 = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D^i(G_i)$. Then we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow P^1 \xrightarrow{\phi} G \rightarrow 0 \quad (\dagger_2)$$

with P^1 C-E projective and $0 \rightarrow (K^1)_n \rightarrow (P^1)_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} G_n \rightarrow 0$ split. Obviously, K^1 is exact since G and P^1 are exact, and so the sequence $(\dagger_2)$ is strongly C-E exact by Lemma 5.4. Using the methods above, we can also show that $0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow P^1 \xrightarrow{\phi} G \rightarrow 0$ is $\text{Hom}(-, P)$ exact for any C-E projective complex P , and so we get a strongly C-E exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow P^2 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

with P^i C-E projective for $i \geq 1$ and which remains exact after applying $\text{Hom}(-, P)$ for any C-E projective complex P . Therefore, G is strongly C-E Gorenstein projective. $\square$

Corollary 5.6 *Let G be an exact complex bounded right. Then G is strongly C-E Gorenstein projective if and only if G_i is a projective module for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof ($\Rightarrow$). It follows from Proposition 5.5.

($\Leftarrow$). It is clear by Proposition 3.8 and the fact that the class of projective modules is projectively resolving. $\square$

As we know, an exact complex P is projective if and only if $Z_n(P)$ is projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence of Proposition 3.8, we have the following result.

Corollary 5.7 *Let G be an exact complex. Then G is strongly C-E Gorenstein projective if and only if G_n is projective and $Z_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

We need the following easy lemma whose proof is routine.

Lemma 5.8 *Let C be a complex. If $\text{Hom}_R(P, C)$ is exact for every C-E projective complexes P , then C is exact.*

Note that projective complexes are strongly C-E Gorenstein projective complexes which are $\sharp$ -projective. However, the converse is not true in general. The following examples which show that strongly C-E Gorenstein projective complexes lie strictly between projective complexes and $\sharp$ -projective complexes.

Example 5.9 ([6, Example 2.5]) *Consider the quasi-Frobenius local ring $R = k[X]/(X^2)$ where k is a field. Then*

$$P =: \cdots \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{x} R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow \cdots$$

is a complete projective resolution in $R\text{-Mod}$ and $Z_i(P)$ is not projective in $R\text{-Mod}$. So P is a strongly C-E Gorenstein projective complex by Corollary 5.7 and P is not a projective complex.

Example 5.10 *The complex A in [16, Example 2.4] is $\sharp$ -projective but it is not strongly C-E Gorenstein projective.*

We conclude this section with the following result which establishes a relationship between the above complexes.

Theorem 5.11 *Let G be a complex. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *G is exact, G_n is projective and $Z_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*
- (2) *G is strongly C-E Gorenstein projective and $\text{Hom}_R(P, G)$ is exact for every C-E projective complex P .*
- (3) *G_n is projective in $R\text{-Mod}$, $\text{Hom}_R(P, G)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(G, P)$ are exact for every C-E projective complex P .*

(4) G is $\sharp$ -projective, $\text{Hom}_R(P, G)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(G, P)$ are exact for every C-E projective complex P .

Proof It follows by Proposition 5.5, Corollary 5.7 and Lemma 5.8. $\square$

Gillespie introduce and consider the notion of $\mathcal{A}$ complexes in [13].

As a direct consequence of Theorem 5.11, the following observation, which is a new characterization of $\mathcal{A}$ complexes in $\mathcal{C}(R\text{-Proj})$ when $\mathcal{A}$ is the class of Gorenstein projective modules, can be obtained. It is also a Gorenstein version of a well-known result: an exact complex P with $Z_n(P)$ projective for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if P is projective.

Corollary 5.12 *Let G be in $\mathcal{C}(R\text{-Proj})$. Then G is exact and $Z_n(G)$ is Gorenstein projective in $R\text{-Mod}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(P, G)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(G, P)$ are exact for every C-E projective complex P .*

Acknowledgements The paper was partially written when the first named author visited Capital Normal University in 2013. The first author would like to thank Professor Changchang Xi for his warm hospitality and valuable comments on the work. The first author also would like to thank Professor Husheng Qiao for his support and our helpful discussions.

References

- [1] J. Asadollahi, Sh. Salarian, Gorenstein objects in triangulated categories, J. Algebra 281 (2004) 264-286.
- [2] L.L. Avramov, H.B. Foxby, Homological dimensions of unbounded complexes, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 71 (1991) 129-155.
- [3] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, Perverse sheaves, in: Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, I, Luminy, 1981, in: Astérisque, vol. 100, 1982, pp. 5-171.
- [4] A. Beligiannis, Relative homological algebra and purity in triangulated categories, J. Algebra 227 (1) (2000) 268-361.
- [5] A. Beligiannis, I. Reiten, Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories, Mem. Amer.Math. Soc. 188 (2007) 1-207.
- [6] D. Bennis, N. Mahdou, Strongly Gorenstein projective, injective, and flat modules, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 210 (2007) 437-445.
- [7] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, Homological algebra, Princeton University Press, 1956.

- [8] L.W. Christensen, H.B. Foxby, H. Holm, Derived Category Methods in Commutative Algebra, preprint, 2012.
- [9] E.E. Enochs, Cartan-Eilenberg complexes and resolutions, *J. Algebra* 342 (2011) 16-39.
- [10] E.E. Enochs, J.R. García Rozas, Gorenstein injective and projective complexes, *Comm. Algebra* 26 (1998) 1657-1674.
- [11] E.E. Enochs, O.M.G. Jenda, Gorenstein injective and projective modules, *Math. Z.* 220 (1995) 611-633.
- [12] J.R. García Rozas, Covers and envelopes in the category of complexes, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.
- [13] J. Gillespie, The flat model structure on $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{R})$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356(8) (2004) 3369-3390.
- [14] R. Hartshorne, Residues and Duality, Lecture Notes in Math. vol.20, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [15] H. Holm, Gorenstein homological dimensions, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 189 (2004) 167-193.
- [16] M.T. Hughes, D. A. Jorgensen and L. M. Sega, Acyclic complexes of finitely generated free modules over local rings, *Math. Scand.* 105 (2009) 85-98.
- [17] B. Iversen, Octahedra and braids, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 114 (2) (1986) 197-213.
- [18] S. Iyengar and H. Krause, Acyclicity versus total acyclicity for complexes over noetherian rings, *Doc. Math.* 11 (2006) 207-240.
- [19] P. Jørgensen, The homotopy category of complexes of projective modules, *Advances in Math.* 193 (2005) 223-232.
- [20] P. Jørgensen, Existence of Gorenstein projective resolutions and Tate cohomology, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 9 (2007) 59-76.
- [21] H. Krause, The stable derived category of a Noetherian scheme, *Compos. Math.* 141 (2005) 1128-1162.
- [22] Z.K. Liu, C.X. Zhang, Gorenstein injective complexes of modules over Noetherian rings, *J. Algebra* 342 (2009) 1546-1554.

- [23] B. Lu, Z.K. Liu, Cartan-Eilenberg complexes with respect to cotorsion pairs, Arch. Math. (Basel) 102 (2014) 35C48.
- [24] H.R. Margolis, Spectra and the Steenrod Algebra, North Holland Mathematical Library 29, North Holland, 1983.
- [25] A. Neeman, The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfields techniques and Brown representability, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996) 205-236.
- [26] A. Neeman, Triangulated categories, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 148, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.
- [27] A. Neeman, The homotopy category of flat modules, and Grothendieck duality, Invent. Math. 174 (2) (2008) 255-308.
- [28] J.J. Rotman, An Introduction to Homological Algebra. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [29] J.L. Verdier, Catégories dérivées, état 0, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 569, Springer, Berlin, 1977, 262-311 pp.
- [30] X.Y. Yang, Z.K. Liu, Gorenstein projective, injective, and flat complexes, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011) 1705-1721.
- [31] G. Yang, L. Liang, Cartan-Eilenberg Gorenstein projective complexes. J. Algebra Appl. 13(1) (2014) 17 pp.